Case 3:73-cv-00127-MMD-CSD Document 2648 Filed 12/28/20 Page 1 of 6

1	GORDON H. DePAOLI				
2	Nevada State Bar No. 00195 DALE E. FERGUSON				
3	Nevada State Bar No. 4986 DOMENICO R. DePAOLI Nevada State Bar No. 11553 WOODBURN AND WEDGE				
4					
5	6100 Neil Road, Suite 500 Reno, Nevada 89511				
6	Telephone: 775 / 688-3000				
7 8	Attorneys for WALKER RIVER IRRIGATION DISTRICT				
9	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT				
10	FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEVADA				
11	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,) 3:73-cv-00127-MMD-WGC			
12	Plaintiff,)			
13) STIPULATION AND ORDER) AMENDING ORDER) REGARDING DISCOVERY AND			
14	WALKER RIVER PAIUTE TRIBE,				
15	Plaintiff-Intervenor,) MOTION SCHEDULE			
16	v.				
17	WALKER RIVER IRRIGATION DISTRICT, a corporation, et al.,)			
18					
19	Defendants.)			
20					
21	1. On March 16, 2020, the Court entered the Order Regarding Discovery and				
22	Motion Schedule and Procedure (the "Order"). ECF 2611.				
23	2. Among other things, in Paragraph 8, the Order addresses Expert Discovery,				
24	including dates when expert reports are due.				
25	3. Plaintiffs' opening expert reports were due on August 14, 2020.				
26	4. The United States served 17 expert reports covering a wide range of subject				
27					
28	matter related to the issues in this matter.				
	I and the second				

Case 3:73-cv-00127-MMD-CSD Document 2648 Filed 12/28/20 Page 2 of 6

- 5. The Walker River Paiute Tribe served two expert reports.
- 6. Principal Defendants' opening and responsive expert reports are due on January 29, 2021.
- 7. Two of the United States' opening expert reports concern matters related to the history of the Walker River Indian Reservation and its land status history from 1859 to and including the present time (the "Historical Reports").
- 8. The Historical Reports are entitled "Historical Review of Water Development, Water Rights and the Creation of the Walker River Indian Reservation 1859-1049" by Ian Smith, and "Land Status History of the Walker River Indian Reservation" by Sandra Lewis.
- 9. The Historical Reports are in whole or in part based upon a review of historical documents covering a time span from 1859 to the present, and which under ordinary circumstances are available to be researched at public archival and records offices.
- 10. The Principal Defendants have engaged an historical expert to provide a response to the Historical Reports and perhaps also to provide an opening expert report on historical matters which may not be directly addressed in the Historical Reports. Those reports are hereinafter referred to as the "Principal Defendants' Responsive Historical Report" and the "Principal Defendants' Opening Historical Report," respectively.
- 11. Pursuant to her research plan, the historical expert engaged by the Principal Defendants to provide their Responsive Historical Report and/or their Opening Historical Report in this matter has identified several repositories that hold manuscript collections critical to her research and assignments in this matter. As of the present time, and for some time prior to August, 2020, each of those repositories have been and remain closed due to the Covid-19 Pandemic, making archival research progress (outside of digitally available records) impossible.

Case 3:73-cv-00127-MMD-CSD Document 2648 Filed 12/28/20 Page 3 of 6

12. As of the present time, the Principal Defendants' historical expert has been and is waiting for the following archive/records offices to reopen: the National Archives – Riverside; National Archives – San Bruno; National Archives – DC/College Park; California State Archives; Nevada State Archives; and the Water Resource Center Archives at the University of California (the "Archive / Records Offices").

- 13. All of those repositories remain closed, with no present plans to reopen.
- 14. The Plaintiffs and Principal Defendants have conferred and agree that Paragraph 8 of the Order Concerning Expert Discovery should be modified in light of the foregoing circumstances.

NOW, THEREFORE, pursuant to Paragraphs 14 and 16 of the Order, and pursuant to the direction provided by Magistrate Judge Cobb at the December 22, 2020 Status Conference concerning this modification, the parties hereby stipulate and agree as follows:

- 1. Because the expert engaged to prepare the Principal Defendants' Responsive Historical Report and the Principal Defendants' Opening Historical Report needs access to the Archive/Records Offices, the January 29, 2021 due date for those reports is suspended.
- 2. The suspension provided for in Paragraph 1 of this Stipulation will continue until an appropriate date determined by the Court. This date shall be a date after the reopening date of the Archive/Records Offices to which the Principal Defendants' historical expert is awaiting access. In establishing this date, consideration will be given to the date of such reopenings, when the expert has been allowed access, obtained and reviewed materials, and the time needed to complete a report based upon the expert's then schedule. In light of the unexpected delay occasioned by the Covid-19 Pandemic circumstances, the Principal Defendants' historical expert shall take all reasonable steps, consistent with her then availability, to work expeditiously to access the Archive/Records Offices, and to complete the

Case 3:73-cv-00127-MMD-CSD Document 2648 Filed 12/28/20 Page 4 of 6

Principal Defendants' Responsive Historical Report and the Principal Defendants' Opening Historical Report.

- 3. Commencing on February 1, 2021, and on the first judicial day of each month thereafter, the Principal Defendants will report to the Plaintiffs' Counsel and to the Court concerning the status of access to each of the Archive/Records Offices.
- 4. The Plaintiffs will share with the Principal Defendants any information which they may have concerning access to the Archive/Records Offices on the same schedule.
- 5. The date for Plaintiffs' Response to the Principal Defendants' Opening Historical Report, if any, is also suspended to a date which is 60 days after the Principal Defendants' Opening Historical Report is served.
- 6. The date for Plaintiffs' Rebuttal to the Principal Defendants' Responsive Historical Report is also suspended to a date which is 60 days after the Principal Defendants' Responsive Historical Report is served.
- 7. The date for Principal Defendants' Rebuttal to Plaintiffs' response to Principal Defendants' Opening Historical Report is also suspended to a date which is 60 days after Plaintiffs' Responsive Report is served.
- 8. Depositions of experts whose opening, responsive and/or rebuttal reports are delayed by this Stipulation shall be delayed until the last of such reports have been served. In the event insufficient time exists to complete such depositions within the discovery period, Plaintiffs and Principal Defendants will seek appropriate relief from the Court.
- 9. The Principal Defendants will provide on the current schedule (by January 29, 2021) the identity and qualifications of their historical expert, her publications, and past testimony as provided in Fed. R. Civ. P. Rule 26(a)(2)(B).

Case 3:73-cv-00127-MMD-CSD Document 2648 Filed 12/28/20 Page 5 of 6

10. The schedule for and expert report sequence for all other opening, responsive and rebuttal expert reports as set forth in Paragraph 8 of the Order are not modified by this Stipulation and Order, and shall remain as provided therein and in the following table:

Non-Historical Expert Sequence					
Plaintiffs' Opening	August 14, 2020				
Defendants'	January 29, 2021	Defendants' Opening	January 29, 2021		
Response					
		Plaintiffs' Response	March 26, 2021		
Plaintiffs' Rebuttal	April 30, 2021	Defendants' Rebuttal	April 30, 2021		

11. If the author of one or more of the non-historical expert reports which will be provided on the current schedule set forth in Paragraph 10 above later becomes aware of information obtained from archival research which is the result of the facilities reopening and which, in their judgment, is relevant to their opinions, they may supplement their reports and opinions within 30 days.

Dated: December 24, 2020.

WOODBURN AND WEDGE

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

(per authorization)

By: /s / Gordon H. DePaoli Gordon H. DePaoli	By: / s / Guss Guarino (per authorization)			
Nevada Bar No. 195 6100 Neil Road, Suite 500	Guss Guarino, Trial Attorney Tyler J. Eastman, Trial Attorney			
Reno, Nevada 89511	Marisa J. Hazell, Trial Attorney			
Attorneys for Walker River Irrigation	Environment and Natural Resources Div.			
District	999 18 th Street, Suite 370			
	Denver, Colorado 80202			
LAW OFFICES OF WES WILLIAMS, JR.,	David L. Negri			
P.C.	Trial Attorney, Natural Resources Section			
	c/o U.S. Attorney's Office			
By: / s / Wes Williams, Jr.	800 Park Boulevard, Suite 600			
(per authorization)	Boise, Idaho 83712			
Wes Williams, Jr., NSB 6864	Attorneys for United States of America			
3119 Lake Pasture Rd.				
P.O. Box 100				
Schurz, Nevada 89427	ADVOCATES FOR COMMUNITY &			
	ENVIRONMENT			
MEYER, WALKER, CONDON &				
WALKER, P.C.	By:/ s / Simeon Herskovits			

Case 3:73-cv-00127-MMD-CSD Document 2648 Filed 12/28/20 Page 6 of 6

1	Alice E. Walker 1007 Pearl Street, Suite 220	Simeon Herskovits, NSB 11155 Iris Thornton
2	Boulder, Colorado 80302	P.O. Box 1075
2	Attorneys for Walker River Paiute Tribe	El Prado, New Mexico 87529
3		Attorneys for Mineral County
4	OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL	BEST BEST & KRIEGER
5	OF CALIFORNIA	
6	D. (AM. O.M.	By: /s / Roderick E. Walston
	By: /s / Nhu Q. Nguyen	(per authorization) Roderick E. Walston
7	(per authorization) Nhu Q. Nguyen, NSB 7844	2001 N. Main Street, Suite 390
8	1300 I Street, Suite 125 P.O. Box 944255	Walnut Creek, California 94596
9	Sacramento, California 94244-2550	Jerry Snyder, NSB 6830
	Attorneys for California State Agencies	429 W. Plumb Lane
10		Reno, Nevada 89509
11		Attorneys for Lyon County
12	STATE OF NEVADA OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL	THE COUNTY OF MONO (CA)
13		By: / s / Stacey Simon
14	By: /s / Anthony J. Walsh	(per authorization)
14	(per authorization)	Stacey Simon, County Counsel
15	Anthony J. Walsh, NSB 14128	Jason Canger, Dep. County Counsel P.O. Box 2415A
1.0	Deputy Attorney General 100 N. Carson Street	Mammoth Lakes, California 93546-2415
16	Carson City, Nevada 89701-4717	Attorneys for Mono County
17	Attorneys for Nevada Department of Wildlife	Miorneys for Mono County
18		SIMONS HALL JOHNSTON PC
19	SCHROEDER LAW OFFICES, P.C.	
	D / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / /	By: /s / Brad M. Johnston
20	By: /s / Therese A. Ure	(per authorization)
21	(per authorization) Therese A. Ure, NSB 10255	Brad M. Johnston, NSB 8515 22 State Route 208
21	10615 Double R Boulevard, Suite 100	Yerington, Nevada 89447
22	Reno, Nevada 89521	Attorneys for Desert Pearl Farms, Peri
	Attorneys for The Schroeder Group	Family Ranch, LLC, Peri & Peri LLC, and
23		Frade Ranches
24		
	ORDER	
25		
26	Dated: December 28 , 2020. IT	S IS SO ORDERED.
27		Ville G. Cobb
- '		William G. Cobb
28	Ur	nited States Magistrate Judge